For the Northern District of California

23

24

25

26

27

28

2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CORY STOKES,	No. C-12-05527 DMR
12	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER DENYING JOINT DISCOVERY LETTER [DOCKET NO. 58] WITHOUT
13	v.	PREJUDICE
14	INTERLINE BRANDS INC,	
15	Defendant(s).	
16		
17	The court is in receipt of the parties' joint discovery letter dated November 15, 2013.	
18	[Docket No. 58.] Defendant avers that the parties have not met and conferred about the discovery	
19	disputes in the joint discovery letter since it served supplemental responses on October 29, 2013.	
20	Accordingly, the joint discovery letter is denied without prejudice and the parties are ordere	
21	to meet and confer in person or by telephone regarding the disputes in the letter. If any disputes	
22	remain after the parties meet and confer, the parties shall file a joint discovery letter by December	

d remain after the parties meet and confer, the parties shall file a joint discovery letter by December 11, 2013. A hearing on that letter, if any, will be held on December 18, 2013, at 2:30 p.m. Any position that is not substantially justified may result in the issuance of sanctions. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 37.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 19, 2013

DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge